

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/331,829 06/23/1999 HIROSHI SUZUKI 1576.77 2131 7590 05/29/2003 Joseph C Mason Jr. EXAMINER Mason & Associates SELLERS, ROBERT E 17757 US Highway 19 North Suite 500 ART UNIT Clearwater, FL 33764 PAPER NUMBER 1712

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(a)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/331,829	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAN INC DATE of this community	Robert Sellers	1712
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO. by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 March 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 23 and 25-34 is/are pending i	n the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-28 <i>and</i> 30</u>	-34 is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
	on Papers	·	
9)[] 1	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10)□ Т	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)□ approved b)□ (disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.	
12)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priority doc 	cuments have been received.	
:	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in A	Application No
	B.☐ Copies of the certified c	he priority documents have beer onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d		
a)	\square The translation of the foreign languation \square The translation of the foreign languation \square	age provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)		
2) D Notice 3) D Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 34

Art Unit: 1712

This application contains claims 25-28 and 30-34 drawn to inventions nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 30. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144).

See MPEP § 821.01.

The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection is rescinded due to the replacement of the non-enabled "non-curing epoxy resin" with the phrase "epoxy resin prior to cure" in independent claims 23 and 29.

The concession on page 13, the fourth paragraph of the amendment filed March 19, 2003 (Paper No. 33) that the claimed characterization of the tetrakisphenol compound of general formula (I) of claim 23 as a "curing accelerator catalyst" is synonymous with the tetrakisphenol compound as a curing catalyst as described in the specification overcomes this aspect of the aforementioned 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection.

The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 103(a) rejection over the Schreiber et al. patents, Koike et al. or Dewhirst is withdrawn due to the incorporation of the content of tetrakisphenol of claim 24 into independent claim 23 as suggested on page 7, lines 7-9 of the Office action mailed February 18, 2003.

Application/Control Number: 09/331,829

Art Unit: 1712

The text of section 102(b) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zupancic et al.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action. The arguments filed March 19, 2003 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

Zupancic et al. employs tetraphenolethane in an amount of 0.03 mole per mole of epoxy group which is embraced by the claimed range of from 0.001 to 0.1. Although the tetraphenolethane is designated as a chain extender, its use in an equivalent proportion within an equivalent composition comprising an epoxy resin and curing agent does not differentiate it from the function of the claimed tetrakisphenol as a curing accelerator catalyst. It is admitted on page 14, the third paragraph of the specification that "the tetrakisphenol compound that forms the said clathrate is a compound that is conventionally known as an addition-type curative."

The function of the tetraphenolethane of Zupancic et al. is not confined to its performance as a chain-extender, especially considering the use of a quantity well within the claimed parameters. Example 38 (col. 22) does not react the tetraphenolethane until the epoxy resin is blended with both the tetraphenolethane and N-benzyl-dicyandiamide curing agent. Thus, the tetraphenolethane is available to chain-extend and participate in the curing of the epoxy resin equivalent to its presence in an equivalent level within the claimed composition.

Application/Control Number: 09/331,829

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Zupancic et al. does not recite the tetrakisphenol of claim 28 which acts as the host compound enveloping a curing agent as denoted by the term "clathrate."

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

(703) 308-2399 (Fax no. (703) 872-9311) Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

> Robert Sellers Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712